

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Isolation and Purification of Xanthine Oxidase from Discarded Fish Liver Notopterous kapirat: An Easy Source of Enzyme

Jadhao S, Bhise N and Khobragade C^*

School of Life Sciences, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded, India

***Corresponding author:** Khobragade C, School of Life Sciences, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded, India, Nanded-431606 (MS), India, Tel: +919405691922, E-mail: cnkhobragade@gmail.com

Citation: Jadhao S, Bhise N, Khobragade C (2018) Isolation and Purification of Xanthine Oxidase from Discarded Fish Liver Notopterous kapirat: An Easy Source of Enzyme. J Food Tech Food Chem 1: 105

Article history: Received: 27 July 2018, Accepted: 22 October 2018, Published: 25th October 2018

Abstract

Xanthine oxidase (XO) has clinical applications as it is an integral part in superoxide dismutase (SOD) diagnostic kit. This investigation deals with isolation, purification and determination of kinetic parameters from fish liver xanthine oxidase. The fractions showing xanthine oxidase activity from fish liver was obtained by heat treatment. Activity staining of a dialyzed sample for discontinuous polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) with non-denaturating and non-reducing condition was performed for confirmation of enzyme activity and showing exact band coincides with a standard enzyme. Purification of enzyme was done in sequential steps of ammonium sulfate precipitation, dialysis, and DEAE-Cellulose column chromatography. The purified XO reveals 2.52 U/mg protein specific activity which represents 27.33 fold purification. The extracted enzyme shows maximum activity at pH 5.0 ± 0.15 and temperature 30 ± 0.38 °C. The kinetic parameters were determined and the values of K_m and V_{max} for the fish liver fraction are 2.7 mM and 0.035 respectively. The present study shows that fish liver is an easily available and cheap source of xanthine oxidase.

Keywords: Xanthine oxidase; activity staining of XO; Notopterous kapirat; Fish liver

Introduction

Xanthine oxidase (EC 1.2.3.22) is widespread among species from bacteria to man, even in the tissues of mammals [1-4]. Xanthine oxidase is known to produce reactive oxygen species such as superoxide anion radicals and hydrogen peroxide as a cellular response [5]. One of the foremost intriguing feature of the xanthine oxidoreductase is that it exists in interconvertible form, as xanthine dehydrogenase which utilizes NAD⁺ as a coenzyme, and is converted to xanthine oxidase which utilizes O₂ as an electron acceptor, an interconvertible form [6-8]. XO is a complex enzyme containing flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), molybdenum and iron so collectively known as molybdenum iron-sulfur flavin hydroxylases. XO particularly catalyzes the hydroxylation of hypoxanthine (of purines) to xanthine and then to uric acid. The uric acid has been implicated to contribute the antioxidant capacity of the blood. XO catalyzed oxidation involves molecular oxygen as the physiological electron acceptor [9-12]. The enzyme oxidizes a range of substrates including purines, pyrimidines, pteridines, azo purines, cytochrome C, heterocyclic compounds, oxygen, NAD⁺, and ferricyanide [9,10]. It has been shown to reduce Cyt b5 and p450 in mammalian hepatic microsomes under anaerobic condition [10]. XO is widely used as a detector for nucleotides, purines, superoxide dismutases, adenosine deaminase, phosphates of blood serum and determination of liver diseases [12]. XO is the principal element of the demonstrative unit for estimation of superoxide dismutase (SOD). This kit is very sensitive in the measurement of SOD activity in blood, serum and plasma which is fundamentally utilized as a part of an analysis, checking and treatment of oxidative anxiety. Oxidative anxiety is engaged with the pathogenesis of cardiovascular pulmonary diseases, cerebral dead tissue, mind injury, hypertension, mutagenesis, atherosclerosis, thrombosis, ischemia-reperfusion, diabetes, aspiratory edema, hypoxia, aggravations, cystic fibrosis, Parkinson ailment, Alzheimer sickness, cancer and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome [11,12,14-18]. Estimation of fish meat freshness is important for the food industries for the manufacture of high-quality products. After the death of fish, the decomposition of ATP (adenosine triphosphate) in the fish meat sets in and ADP (adenosine diphospahte), IMP (inosine 5' phosphate), HxR (inosine), Hx (hypoxanthine), X (xanthine) and U (uric acid). Whereas IMP is one of the major contributing factors to the pleasant flavor of fresh fish, its degradation product hypoxanthine imparts the bitter "off-taste". In this degradation process, quantification of hypoxanthine can b used as an indication of the fish freshness. Estimation of fish meat freshness is vital for the sustenance enterprises to make the astounding items. After the death of fish, the decay of an adenosine triphosphate (ATP) in the fish meat

sets in and adenosine diphosphate (ADP), adenosine 5' phosphate (AMP), inosine 5' phosphate (IMP), inosine (HxR), hypoxanthine (Hx), xanthine (X) and uric corrosive (U). Pixie is one of the major contributing components to the wonderful kind of fish; its debasement item hypoxanthine gives the biting "off-taste". In this debasement procedure, evaluation of hypoxanthine can be utilized as a sign of the fish freshness [13]. The present study was initiated for isolation of crude fractions of XO from fish liver, to find out the relatively easy source of enzyme considering its medical applications especially in the preparation of the superoxide dismutase diagnostic kit. The enzyme is the main component of the diagnostic kit for superoxide dismutase estimation.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and biological materials

The analytical grade chemicals used for the study were purchased from Sigma Aldrich USA, HIMEDIA India, Merck Life Sciences India.

The biological material, fresh fish was purchased from local market Nanded, Maharashtra, India.

Extraction and Purification of Xanthine Oxidase

Xanthine oxidase crude fractions were isolated from the fish liver by previously reported standard method [1]. In brief, about 10 g of the fresh fish liver was cut into small pieces using a scissoring blade. These pieces were homogenized for 5 minutes in a homogenizer furnished with Teflon pestle with 5 volumes of 0.01 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0), containing 1 mM EDTA. The homogenate was rapidly heated in a water bath maintained at the 55 °C temperature for 5 min and then cooled quickly in an ice bath. Amid both the heating and cooling steps the homogenate was mixed properly and then centrifuged at 16000 g for 15 min. The supernatant was collected for further studies.

Solid fine powdered ammonium sulfate was added to the extracted fraction in the supernatant to an initial concentration of 30% saturation. The mixture was centrifuged at 16000 g for 15 minutes and resulting precipitate was discarded. The supernatant was again precipitated to the final concentration of 60% saturation. It was centrifuged at 16000 g for 15 minutes. After centrifugation, the pellet was suspended in 10 ml of 0.05 M Potassium Phosphate buffer containing 0.3 mM EDTA, pH 7.5 [1]. The sample was dialyzed in 100 kDa cut off size dialysis membrane against the same buffer for overnight. The overnight dialyzed 0.5 ml sample was loaded on to the DEAE-cellulose column of 1.5 cm width and 12 cm in length previously equilibrated with the 0.2M Phosphate buffer pH 7.4. A column was washed with the same buffer and the enzyme was eluted with an increasing linear gradient concentration ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 M NaCl prepared in the Phosphate buffer. The fractions of 4 ml were collected at the flow rate of 1ml per minute and checked for protein content by a Folin-Lowry method. The enzyme assay for fractions was performed [5]. The fractions showing the enzyme activity were pooled and used for further study.

Xanthine oxidase assay

An activity of XO is evaluated by quantifying the uric acid formation by measuring the absorbance at 290 nm [5]. The reaction mixture containing 0.1 ml extracted enzyme, 1 ml of substrate (xanthine, 50 μ M final concentration), and 1.9 ml of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8, 50mM) was incubated for 5 minutes. The time-dependant increase in absorbance was recorded at 290 nm while incubation of the reaction mixture at 25 or 37 °C. One unit of enzyme activity is defined as the rate of formation of one μ M of uric acid per minute; the enzymatic activity was calculated by using the formula.

Units/mg protein= $(\Delta A/min \times 1000) / (1.22 \times 10^4 \times mg mL^{-1} reaction mixture)$

Activity staining

Activity staining was performed for xanthine oxidase in the extracted fraction after dialysis by following methods.

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis: It was done with the method reported previously [7]. Discontinuous PAGE was performed under nondenaturing and nonreducing conditions, basically as portrayed by Laemmli for denaturing gel. Here, sodium dodecyl sulfate was discarded. Gel dimensions and thicknesses were 100 and 0.75 mm, respectively. Six percent separator gel, without stacking gel, was prepared. After application of the sample, a steady voltage of 100 volts was applied and electrophoretic separation was carried out at room temperature (37 °C). Gels were stained for activity, followed by electrophoresis.

Xanthine oxidase staining in polyacrylamide gels: This method was carried out with slight modifications of the method developed for ascorbate peroxidase [19]. Activity staining on polyacrylamide gels was done at room temperature (37 °C). The mixture of reaction contained 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.6, 0.50 mM xanthine, 0.25 nitroblue tetrazolium and 30 mM TEMED. Staining of the gel was proceeded overnight until the band shows up on the gel. The gel was washed 5-6 times with distilled water for visualization of bands on a gel for both standard enzyme and dialyzed enzyme sample.

Protein determination: Protein content at each step of purification of xanthine oxidase fraction was determined spectrophotometrically according to the Folin-Lowry method by using bovine serum albumin as the standard [20].

Effect of pH on XO activity

The effect of pH on XO activity was studied at various pH ranging from 3.0 to 8.0 with different buffers viz., Citrate- buffer (pH3-5) and Phosphate buffer (pH 6-8). To determine the stability, the enzyme was incubated with different relevant buffers at 30 °C for 10 minutes. The enzyme activities were measured spectrophotometrically at 290nm.

Effect of Temperature on XO activity

The effect of temperature was determined by incubating the enzyme and substrate samples at different temperatures ranging from 20 °C to 70 °C for 10 mins, and the activity of the enzyme was measured by spectrophotometrically at 290 nm.

K_{m} and V_{max}

The effect of substrate concentration was tested with different concentrations i.e. 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 40µg/ml by incubating with purified enzyme for 10 minutes at 30 °C. The enzyme activity was measured at 290 nm.

Result and Discussion

Xanthine oxidase is a commercially important enzyme with a wide area of applications [12]. In the present study Xanthine oxidase was extracted and partially purified from the fish liver by heat treatment, ammonium sulfate precipitation; dialysis and ion exchange chromatography. Activity staining was done with the simple and sensitive method suggested on 6 % separator gel without stacking gel omitting SDS [7]. In Figure 1, lane 1 is the standard enzyme and lane 2 is dialyzed enzyme sample.



Lane 1: Standard XO, Lane 2: Dialyzed sample Figure 1: In gel detection of xanthine oxidase activity in dialyzed sample

It was kept overnight till the appearance of the bands. The electrophoretic analysis of dialyzed enzyme fraction band coincides with, the standard enzyme Xanthine Oxidase purchased from Hi-Media, Mumbai. This activity staining method is satisfactory to confirm the presence of XO comparing with the standard enzyme.

Column chromatography



Figure 2: Purification of xanthine oxidase by DEAE cellulose column chromatography

DEAE cellulose column chromatography profile was shown in Figure 2. About 0.1 to 0.5 M NaCl fraction in Potassium Phosphate buffer pH 7.5 was used for elution. Figure 2 revealed the presence of enzyme peak eluted with 0.2 M NaCl fraction. Other 0.1 M and 0.3 M NaCl fractions also showed presence of a protein but without enzyme activity indicating the presence of other protein. Enzyme activity and specific activity on DEAE-cellulose column chromatography were observed to be 0.3666 U/ml/min and 2.5282 respectively.

The Table 1 indicates the good amount of enzyme extracted, the protein content and specific activity up to the dialysis 157 μ g/ml and 1.2840 U/mg respectively. Further XO purification is done by DEAE-cellulose column chromatography and 27.33 fold purification obtained with 145 μ g/ml protein and 2.5282 U/mg specific activity.

Sr. No.	Crude supernatant	Ammonium Sulphate Precipitation (60%)	Dialysis	DEAE-Cellulose Column Chromatography
Protein content (µg/ml)	206	193	157	145
Enzyme activity (U/ml/min)	0.0513	0.0737	0.2016	0.3666
Specific activity(U/mg)	0.0925	0.3818	1.2840	2.5282
Fold purification	1	4.12	13.88	27.33

Table 1: Stepwise purification of enzyme

Effect of temperature; pH and substrate concentration on partially purified enzyme along with kinetic parameters like K_m and V_{max} were also studied. Results in Figure 3 depict the effect of temperature on XO activity. An enzyme is active over the wide temperature range. It was observed that temperature 30 ± 0.38 °C shows the optimum conversion of xanthine to uric acid by an enzyme. Below and above this temperature enzyme activity was lowered. Results in Figure 4 depict the effect of pH on XO activity. An enzyme is active over the wide pH range. It was observed that pH 5.0 ± 0.15 shows the optimum conversion of xanthine to uric acid indicating optimum activity of an enzyme in the acidic pH. Below and above this pH, enzyme activity was lowered. The purified XO shows optimum activity at temperature 30 ± 0.38 °C and pH 5.0 ± 0.15 . This may be due to the effect of temperature and pH on active site of enzyme which at temperature and pH favors the binding of substrate. Above and below this temperature and pH binding of substrate to the enzyme active site may be less favorable due to denaturation or conformational changes in the enzyme structure; thereby reducing activity ultimately lowers the optimum conversion of substrate to product [27,28].

Comparing these parameters to other sources of the enzyme like cow and goat milks shows considerable variations. The optimum temperatures for xanthine oxidase from cow and goat milk are 10 °C and 20 °C; however, the value for optimum pH observed for cow milk is 7.5 and goat milk sample ranges from 7.2-7.4 [10]. The temperature and pH optima for desi cows are 25 °C and 7.4 [26]. The effect of pH on buffalo milk XO activity was measured and the most stable pH range for the enzyme activity was found between pH 7.6 – 8.4. The optimum activity of buffalo milk XO enzyme was found at pH 8.0 [9].



Figure 3: Effect of temperature on enzyme activity



Figure 4: Effect of pH on enzyme activity



Figure 5: Determination of K_m and V_{max} by double reciprocal plot

The enzyme kinetic parameters K_m and V_{max} were determined for the conversion of xanthine to uric acid for fish liver fraction by using the double reciprocal plot. Lineweaver-Burk plot was constructed by using reciprocal of reaction velocity and reciprocal of substrate concentration (Figure 5). Trendline equation y = mx + c was generated from the double reciprocal plot for a straight line in the excel sheet. The values were obtained by using trendline y = 76.52x + 28.34 as shown in Figure 5. Putting the values of slope $m = K_m/V_{max}$ and $C = 1/V_{max}$, the calculated values for K_m and V_{max} were 2.7 mM and 0.035 respectively.

XO was also purified from the liver of water buffalo, mouse, rat, rabbit, guinea pig and also from the human. High XO activity was detected in rat and mouse liver whereas XO activity was absent in rabbit and guinea pig. The values for K_m and V_{max} obtained by Lineweaver-Burk plot by HPLC and spectroscopic method, the K_m value for rat are 13.8 and 9.71 while V_{max} values for rat are 2.55 and 2.0 whereas the K_m value for mouse are 9.67 and 12.0 while V_{max} values for mouse are 2.15 and 1.60 respectively and HPLC method was more precise [1].

XO was purified from mouse liver with 302 fold, from rabbit liver with 330 fold, from rat liver with 1200 fold and from human liver 2000 fold [2,22-24]. The XO pH profile from buffalo liver, rabbit liver displayed its optimum activity at pH 7.6, pH 8.1 [12,23]. The value for isoelectric point reported for mouse liver XO as 6.7, and for rat liver XO as 6.13 [22,24]. Reciprocal of the reaction velocity (1/v) and substrate concentration (1/[S]) in Lineweaver-Burk plot when calculated the K_m values were 1.1 mM for buffalo liver XO, K_m value was 3.4 μ M for Mouse liver XO, K_m value was 22 μ M for rabbit liver XO, K_m value was 53 μ M for rat liver XO [12,22,23,25]. Low K_m value indicates high affinity towards enzyme. K_m value for the fish liver is relatively lower as compared to rat, mouse, and rabbit indicating high affinity towards enzyme.

All the above results revealed that XO is showing optimum activity under normal temperature, pH and lower K_m value makes it probably a better candidate to serve the purpose. Even dialyzed enzyme sample shows exactly equal and good stained band compared to the standard enzyme purchase from Himedia. As the enzyme is an integral part of SOD diagnostic kit in the medical application; therefore, the purified XO is an easily available and cheap source of an enzyme from the fish liver as it is thrown away after fish processing for food.

Conclusion

This study agrees with earlier reports that xanthine oxidase from different species shows different characteristics. It is very important to study isolation, purification, and characterization of the enzyme as it is an integral part of the diagnostics kit available in the market; hence it is necessary to find out a cheap and the easiest source of the enzyme. The purified enzyme of fish liver very effectively serves this purpose as it is disposed of after fish processing for food preparation. In addition to this, levels of this enzyme in the fish liver also act as a biosensor for water pollution. This property also remarkably influence and promotes the present study.

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to the Director, School of Life Sciences, S R T M University, Nanded for providing necessary facilities. Sagar Jadhao acknowledges UGC for the grant of Maulana Azad National Fellowship for completion of the work.

References

1. Kadam RS, Iyer KR (2007) Isolation of different animal liver xanthine oxidase containing fractions and determination of kinetic parameters for xanthine. Indian J Pharm Sci 69: 41-5.

2. Krenitsky TA, Spector T, Hall WW (1986) Xanthine Oxidase from Human Liver: Purification and Characterization. Arch Biochem Biophy 247: 108-19.

3. Vella M, Hunter T, Farrugia C, Pearson AR, Hunter G (2014) Purification and Characterisation of Xanthine Oxidoreductases from Local Bovids in Malta. Adva in Enz Res 2: 54-63.

4. Huo LN, Wang W, Zhang CY, Shi HB, Liu Y, et al. (2015) Bioassay-Guided Isolation and Identification of Xanthine Oxidase Inhibitory Constituents from the Leaves of Perilla frutescens. Molecules 20: 17848-59.

5

5. Shintani H (2013) Determination of xanthine oxidase. Pharm Anal Acta S7.

6. Kostic DA, Dimitrijevic DS, Stojanovic GS, Palic IR, Dordevic AR, et al. (2015) Xanthine Oxidase: Isolation, Assays of Activity, and Inhibition. J Chem Article ID 294858.

7. Ozer N, Muftoglu M, Ogus HI (1998) A simple and sensitive method for activity staining of xanthine oxidase. J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods 36: 95-100.

Beyaztaş S, Arslan O (2014) Purification of xanthine oxidase from bovine milk by affinity chromatography with a novel gel. J Enz Inhib Med Chem 30: 442-7.
Masoud HM, Darwish DA, Monsef MA, Helmy MS, Ibrahim MA (2017) Xanthine oxidase from milk of the water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis): Purification, characterization and application in SOD assay diagnostic kit; RJPBS, 8: 1735-44.

10. Egvim EC, Vunchi MA, Egwim PO (2005) Comparison of xanthine oxidase in cow and goat milks. Nigerian Soc. Exp. Bio 17: 1-6.

11. Naoghare PK, Kwon HT, Song JM (2010) On-chip assay for determining the inhibitory effects and modes of action of drugs against xanthine oxidase. J Pharm Biomed Ana 51: 1-6.

12. Ibrahim MA, Masoud HM, Darwish DA, Esa SS, Zaahkouk SAM (2015) Purification and characterization of xanthine oxidase from liver of the water buffalo Bubalus bubalis. J App Pharm Sci 5: 063-8.

13. Nakatani HS, Santos LV, Pelerine CP, Terezinha S, Gomes M, et al. (2005) Biosensor based on xanthine oxidase for monitoring hypoxanthine in fish meat. American J Biochem Biotech 1: 85-9.

14. Heinz F, Pilz R, Reckel S, Kalden JR, Haeckel R (1980) A new spectrophotometric method for the determination of 5'-nucleotidase. J Clin Chem Clin Biochem 18: 781-8.

15. Groot H.D, Noll T (1985) Enzymic determination of inorganic phosphates, organic phosphates and phosphate-liberating enzymes by use of nucleoside phosphorylase-xanthine oxidase (dehydrogenase)-coupled reactions. Biochem J 230: 255-60.

16. Berry CE, Hare JM (2004) Xanthine oxidoreductase and cardiovascular disease: molecular mechanisms and pathophysiological implications. J Physiol 555: 589-606.

17. Zhang Y, Xin Y, Yang H, Zhang L, Xia X, et al. (2012) Novel affinity purification of xanthine oxidase from Arthrobacter M3. J Chromatog B 906: 19-24.

18. Martin HM, Hancock JT, Salisbury V, Harrison R (2004) Role of xanthine oxidoreductase as an antimicrobial agent Infect Immun 72: 4933-9.

19. Zilinskas MR (1993) Detection of ascorbate peroxidase activity in native gels by inhibition of the ascorbate-dependant reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium. Ana Biochem 212: 540-6.

20. Lowry OH, Rosenbrough N, Farr AL, Randall R J (1951) Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. J Biol. Chem 193: 265-75.

21. Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227: 680-5.

22. Carpani G, Racchi M, Ghezzi P, Terao M, Garattini E (1990) Purification and characterization of mouse liver xanthine oxidase. Arch. Biochem. Biophys 279: 237-41.

23. Catignani GL, Chytil F, Darby WJ (1975) Purification and characterization of xanthine oxidase from livers of vitamin e deficient rabbits. Arch. Biochem. Biophys 377: 34-41.

24. Maia L, Mira L (2002) Xanthine oxidase and aldehyde oxidase. A simple procedure for the simultaneous purification from rat liver. Arch Biochem Biophys 400: 48-53.

25. McManaman JL, Shellman V, Wright RM, Repine JE (1996) Purification of rat liver xanthine oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase by affinity chromatography on benzamidine sepharose. Arch Biochem Biophys 332: 135-41.

26. Khobragade CN, Shinde MS (2014) Distribution of milk xanthine oxidase activity in desi cows, SRTMU's RES. J. SCI 3: 32-43.

27. Khobragade CN, Kore GV (2004) Influence of microwave irradiation of enzymatic activity of invertase in aqueous and organic solvents. Indian J Chem Technol 11: 377-81.

28. Khobragade CN, Chandel SG (2002) Comparative study of catalytic activity of immobilized invertase in sodium alginate gel on sucrose hydrolysis. Indian J Chem Technol 9: 535-9.